



Reframing non-communicable diseases

Catherine Cavalin, Alain Lescoat

► To cite this version:

Catherine Cavalin, Alain Lescoat. Reframing non-communicable diseases. The Lancet global health, 2017, 5 (11), pp.e1071. 10.1016/S2214-109X(17)30327-3 . hal-01628315

HAL Id: hal-01628315

<https://sciencespo.hal.science/hal-01628315>

Submitted on 3 Nov 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Reframing non-communicable diseases

The collective dynamic initiated by Luke Allen and Andrea Feigl¹ is remarkable, and explains why they felt the need to formulate a new proposal,² which involves renaming and reframing non-communicable diseases (NCDs) as socially transmitted conditions (STCs).

Allen and Feigl explicitly and implicitly summarise what nomenclatures are, as they have been built and studied for the last three centuries.³ They remind us that the purpose of the nosological nomenclature under review—communicable diseases versus NCDs—is a cognitive one: by naming things relevantly, a classification is assigned the task of producing knowledge. Allen and Feigl do not restrict nomenclature to a cognitive initiative. They insist on its strong political implications: a relevant name and framework is more likely to raise funds, and to mobilise researchers and decision makers.

These two characteristics of nomenclatures also connect through a third dimension. Naming things helps to frame them by putting them in order.³ But if this conceptual reform might entail real-life action (public policies and effective research), this is because the diseases' names have ontological power (consider the ontological breadth of diseases shown by Sontag⁴).

What can we expect from the proposal to relabel NCDs as STCs if we relate it to the above-mentioned properties of a nosological nomenclature? STCs do not bring about a conceptual revolution in aetiology. They conform to the idea that death, disease, and disability are more and more related to behavioural and environmental factors, which has been described and discussed through the epidemiological transition model⁵ for the past 40 years. Drivers being favoured over causes, we remain in the unchanged multicausal paradigm of disease of the epidemiological

transition.⁶ Consequently, the new label (STCs) might make the social dimension much more patent, but it does not introduce it as a brand new variable.

Since the end of the 1980s, the field of epidemiology has been stirred by debate about its legitimacy in tackling social issues.⁷ The fact that NCDs were already (theoretically) defined as social, behavioural, or environmental did not prevent epidemiology from neglecting the social sciences. Thus, it is anything but sure that the ontological power of the name STCs can overcome these poorly individualistic research avenues. Additionally, implicitly playing on the ambiguity of the notion of contagion (long studied by the social sciences), Allen and Feigl tend to oppose an infectious communicability to a social transmission (in STCs). This overlooks the fact that it is relevant for heuristic and political purposes to think of communicable diseases as being socially or environmentally driven.⁸ This fits with the widely experienced reality regarding the implementation of public health policies in so-called traditional societies, as the Ebola virus epidemic illustrated once again.

We have been told that thinking of diseases as social constructs is a truism.⁹ Nevertheless, in addition to using meaningful expressions such as STCs, we should insist on the social continuum that crosscuts all diseases. Socioenvironmental dimensions are intimately interwoven in all diseases. Understanding them through transdisciplinary research must respond to the huge and still poorly explained fraction of the global burden of disease caused by environmental factors (22% of the global burden, including death and disability),¹⁰ for the sake of efficiency against social inequalities in health.

We declare no competing interests. Our contract grant sponsor is the European Research Council (ERC)/SILICOSIS project, Centre for European Studies, Sciences Po (Paris, France), contract grant number: ERC-2011-ADG_20110406*Project ID: 295817.

Copyright © The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an Open Access article under the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license.



*Catherine Cavalin, Alain Lescoat
catherine.cavalin@sciencespo.fr

Centre for European Studies (CC), and Laboratory of Interdisciplinary Evaluation of Public Policies (CC), Sciences Po, 75 337 Paris CEDEX 07, France; Centre for Employment and Labour Studies, Paris, France (CC); UMR INSERM U1085, Research Institute in Health, Environment and Occupation (AL), and Department of Internal Medicine, University Hospital of Rennes (AL), University of Rennes 1, Rennes, France.

- 1 Allen LN, Feigl AB. What's in a name? A call to reframe non-communicable diseases. *Lancet* 2017; **5**: e129–30.
- 2 Allen LN, Feigl AB. Reframing non-communicable diseases as socially transmitted conditions. *Lancet Glob Health* 2017; **5**: e644–46.
- 3 Foucault M. The order of things. An archaeology of the human sciences. New York: Routledge, 2002: XXVI–422.
- 4 Sontag S. Illness as metaphor. New York: Vintage Books, 1979: 85.
- 5 Omran AR. The epidemiologic transition: a theory of the epidemiology of population change. *Milbank Mem Fund Q* 1971; **49**: 509–38.
- 6 Parascandola M. The epidemiologic transition and changing concepts of causation and causal inference. *Rev D'histoire Sci* 2011; **64**: 243–62.
- 7 Susser M, Susser E. Choosing a future for epidemiology: I. Eras and paradigms. *Am J Public Health* 1996; **86**: 668–73.
- 8 Cavalin C, Lescoat A, Macchi O, Revest M, Rosental P-A, Jégo P. Socioenvironmental factors of communicable and non-communicable diseases. *Lancet Glob Health* 2017; **5**: e487.
- 9 Rosenberg CE. Disease in history: frames and framers. *Milbank Q* 1989; **67** (suppl 1): 1–15.
- 10 Prüss-Ustün A, Wolf J, Corvalán C, Bos R, Neira M. Preventing disease through healthy environments. A global assessment of the burden of disease from environmental risks. Geneva: World Health Organization. 2016: xxiv–147. http://www.who.int/quantifying_ehimpacts/publications/preventing-disease/en/ (accessed Aug 18, 2017).