



The variance of a rank estimator of transformation models

Koen Jochmans

► **To cite this version:**

| Koen Jochmans. The variance of a rank estimator of transformation models. 2011. hal-00973007

HAL Id: hal-00973007

<https://hal-sciencespo.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00973007>

Preprint submitted on 21 May 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

THE VARIANCE OF A RANK ESTIMATOR OF TRANSFORMATION MODELS

Koen Jochmans*
CORE

First version: June 2010; This version: June 7, 2011

This note shows that the asymptotic variance of Chen's [Econometrica, 70, 4 (2002), 1683–1697] two-step estimator of the link function in a linear transformation model depends on the first-step estimator of the index coefficients.

JEL classification: C14, C41.

Keywords: influence function, transformation model, two-step estimator.

The estimator

For an unspecified strictly-increasing function $\Lambda_0(\cdot) : \mathcal{R} \mapsto \mathcal{R}$, the linear transformation model takes the form

$$\Lambda_0(Y) = X\beta + \varepsilon,$$

where ε is a latent disturbance, distributed independently of the covariates X , and β is an unknown coefficient vector of conformable dimension. Set $\Lambda_0(y_0) = 0$ for a chosen baseline value y_0 and assume that $\beta = (1, \alpha'_0)'$.

Let $W_i \equiv (Y_i, X_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) be observations on $W \equiv (Y, X)$, drawn at random from a distribution P that is supported on a set \mathcal{W} . Let $b_n \equiv (1, \alpha'_n)'$ be a first-step estimator of β . For fixed y , Chen (2002) proposed estimating $\Lambda_0 \equiv \Lambda_0(y)$ by Λ_n , which maximizes

$$\frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} h(W_i, W_j, y, \Lambda, b_n), \quad h(W_1, W_2, y, \Lambda, b) \equiv [1\{Y_1 \geq y\} - 1\{Y_2 \geq y_0\}] 1\{(X_1 - X_2)b \geq \Lambda\},$$

with respect to Λ over a compact subset of the real line containing Λ_0 .

The influence function

Impose Assumptions 1–5 of Chen (2002). Strengthen Assumption 6 by demanding α_n to be asymptotically linear, that is, $\sqrt{n}(\alpha_n - \alpha_0) = n^{-1/2} \sum_i \psi(W_i) + o_p(1)$ for a function $\psi(\cdot)$ that has zero mean and finite variance under P . Fix y throughout and leave the dependence of quantities on it implicit. Let $\tau(w, \Lambda, b) \equiv Eh(W, w, y, \Lambda, b) + Eh(w, W, y, \Lambda, b)$, $V \equiv \frac{1}{2}E\nabla_{\Lambda\Lambda}\tau(W, \Lambda_0, \beta)$, and $\Omega \equiv E\nabla_{\Lambda\alpha'}\tau(W, \Lambda_0, \beta)$. Arguments along the line of those in Sherman (1993) yield $\sqrt{n}(\Lambda_n - \Lambda_0) = n^{-1/2} \sum_i I(W_i) + o_p(1) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{N}(0, EI(W)^2)$ for

$$I(w) \equiv -V^{-1} \left[\nabla_{\Lambda}\tau(w, \Lambda_0, \beta) + \frac{1}{2}\Omega \psi(w) \right] = J(w) - \frac{1}{2}V^{-1}\Omega \psi(w).$$

Chen (2002, pp. 1687 and Theorem 1) argues that $\Omega = 0$, so that $I(w) = J(w)$ and the asymptotic variance of $\sqrt{n}\Lambda_n$ is unaffected by the estimation noise in b_n .

*Address: Center for Operations Research and Econometrics, U.C. Louvain, Voie du Roman Pays 34, B-1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium. Tel. +32 10 474 329; E-mail: koen.jochmans@uclouvain.be. I am grateful to Songnian Chen, Geert Dhaene, Frank Kleibergen, and James Stock for comments and suggestions and to the Department of Economics of Brown University for their hospitality.

Write f and p_z for the densities of ε and $Z \equiv X\beta$, respectively. Arrange the components of $X = (X_1, \tilde{X}) \in \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{X}$ so that the distribution of scalar X_1 given $\tilde{X} = \tilde{x}$ satisfies the absolute-continuity requirement of Chen (2002, Assumption 2) for all \tilde{x} in \mathcal{X} . The calculations summarized below show that, with $\mathcal{X}(z) \equiv E[\tilde{X}|Z = z]$,

$$-V = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(-z) p_z(z + \Lambda_0) p_z(z) dz, \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\Omega = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(-z) p_z(z + \Lambda_0) p_z(z) [\mathcal{X}(z + \Lambda_0) - \mathcal{X}(z)] dz. \quad (2)$$

Equation (2) reveals that Ω will generally be non-zero. Like V , it can be estimated by the cross-derivative of a smoothed version of the symmetrized objective function evaluated at (Λ_n, b_n) . Consistency follows under conditions analogous to those for the estimator of V stated in Chen (2002, pp. 1695–1696).

The conclusions drawn here extend to the case where the observations on Y are subject to random censoring. The appropriate modification to the influence function stated in Chen (2002, Theorem 2) is readily derived.

Calculations

Let $\tau(w) = \tau(w, \Lambda, b)$ for fixed values Λ and $b = (1, \alpha)'$. Write $\tau_0(w)$ for $\tau(w, \Lambda_0, \beta)$, $\nabla_{\Lambda}\tau_0(w)$ for $\nabla_{\Lambda}\tau(w, \Lambda_0, \beta)$, etc. Manipulate the inequalities in $\tau(w)$ to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(W) &= \int_{\mathcal{W}} (1\{y < y_0\} - 1\{Y < y\}) 1\{xb \leq Xb - \Lambda\} dP(w) \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathcal{W}} (1\{Y < y_0\} - 1\{y < y\}) 1\{xb < Xb + \Lambda\} dP(w) + c_0 \end{aligned}$$

for $c_0 \equiv \int (1\{Y < y_0\} - 1\{y < y\}) dP(y)$, which does not depend on (Λ, b) . Let $p_z(z|\tilde{x})$ be the density of Z given $\tilde{X} = \tilde{x}$ at z and let $\Delta_{\alpha}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}) \equiv Z + (\tilde{X} - \tilde{x})(\alpha - \alpha_0)$. By iterated expectations,

$$\tau(W) = - \int_{\mathcal{X}} \int_{-\infty}^{\Delta_{\alpha}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}) - \Lambda} S_{y, y_0}(Y, z) p_z(z|\tilde{x}) dz dP(\tilde{x}) + \int_{\mathcal{X}} \int_{-\infty}^{\Delta_{\alpha}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}) + \Lambda} S_{y_0, y}(Y, z) p_z(z|\tilde{x}) dz dP(\tilde{x}) + c_0,$$

where $S_{y_1, y_2}(Y, Z) \equiv 1\{Y < y_1\} - F(\Lambda_0(y_2) - Z)$ and $F(z) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^z f(z) dz$.

Use Leibniz's rule to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\Lambda}\tau(W) &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} S_{y, y_0}(Y, \Delta_{\alpha}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}) - \Lambda) p_z(\Delta_{\alpha}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}) - \Lambda|\tilde{x}) dP(\tilde{x}) \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathcal{X}} S_{y_0, y}(Y, \Delta_{\alpha}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}) + \Lambda) p_z(\Delta_{\alpha}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}) + \Lambda|\tilde{x}) dP(\tilde{x}) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and that $\nabla_{\Lambda}\tau_0(W) = S_{y, y}(Y, Z) p_z(Z - \Lambda) - S_{y_0, y_0}(Y, Z) p_z(Z + \Lambda)$. Notice that $E\nabla_{\Lambda}\tau_0(W) = 0$ because

$$E[S_{y, y}(Y, Z)|Z = z] = 0 \quad (4)$$

for any y .

Differentiate with respect to Λ under the integral sign in Equation (3), re-arrange, and evaluate at (Λ_0, β) to obtain

$$\nabla_{\Lambda\Lambda} \tau_0(W) = -f(\Lambda_0 - Z) p_z(Z - \Lambda_0) - f(-Z) p_z(Z + \Lambda_0) - c_1$$

where $c_1 \equiv S_{y,y}(Y, Z) p'_z(Z - \Lambda_0) + S_{y_0,y_0}(Y, Z) p'_z(Z + \Lambda_0)$ and p'_z is the derivative of p_z . Integrate and apply the moment condition in Equation (4) to dispense with c_1 and to find that

$$2V = - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\Lambda_0 - z) p_z(z - \Lambda_0) p_z(z) dz - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(-z) p_z(z + \Lambda_0) p_z(z) dz.$$

Equation (1) follows on a change of variable from z to $z - \Lambda_0$ in the first integral.

Follow the same steps to deduce that

$$\nabla_{\Lambda\alpha'} \tau_0(W) = f(\Lambda_0 - Z) p_z(Z - \Lambda_0) [\tilde{X} - \mathcal{X}(Z - \Lambda_0)] - f(-Z) p_z(Z + \Lambda_0) [\tilde{X} - \mathcal{X}(Z + \Lambda_0)] + c_2$$

for $c_2 \equiv S_{y,y}(Y, Z) p'_z(Z - \Lambda_0) [\tilde{X} - \mathcal{X}(Z - \Lambda_0)] - S_{y_0,y_0}(Y, Z) p'_z(Z + \Lambda_0) [\tilde{X} - \mathcal{X}(Z + \Lambda_0)]$. Because c_2 has zero mean,

$$\Omega = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\{ f(\Lambda_0 - z) p_z(z - \Lambda_0) [\mathcal{X}(z) - \mathcal{X}(z - \Lambda_0)] - f(-z) p_z(z + \Lambda_0) [\mathcal{X}(z) - \mathcal{X}(z + \Lambda_0)] \right\} p_z(z) dz.$$

A change of variable then establishes Equation (2).

References

- Chen, S. (2002). Rank estimation of transformation models. *Econometrica*, 70:1683–1697.
- Sherman, R. P. (1993). The limiting distribution of the maximum rank correlation estimator. *Econometrica*, 61:123–137.